City of Las Vegas

of Las Veças Agenda Item No.: 138.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2007

DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR:			PMENT	☐ Consent	∑ Discussion
SUBJECT: REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW					
OWNER: FERR Required Review ADVERTISING MAXIMUM HE	IS INVESTMEN (V-26-88(2)) W (BILLBOARD) LIGHT ALLOWE Ward 3 (Reese ds APPROVAL	NTS, INC INTS, INC INTS, INC INTS INTS INC INTS INC INTS INC INTS INC INTS INC INTS INTS INTS INC INTS INTS INC INTS INTS INTS INTS INTS INTS INTS INTS	ANT: CLEAR CHANG Required Two Year Re WED A 14-FOOT X 4 HEIGHT OF 65 FEET ghland Avenue (APN 1 Imends DENIAL. The	view of an ap 48-FOOT OF WHERE 40 162-04-301-0 Planning Co	pproved FF-PREMISE FEET IS THE 1008), M ommission (6-0
Planning Comm		3	Planning Commissi		0
City Council Me		3	City Council Meeti		0
RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.					
BACKUP DOC 1. Location, Aer 2. Conditions and 3. Supporting D 4. Photos 5. City Council 6. City Council 7. Protest postca 8. Submitted aft 9. Backup refere	rial, Separation and Staff Report ocumentation Approval Letter Approval Letter and er final agenda —	for RQR-6150 for RQR-1704 Protest postca	ADA	ing Item 25	
Motion made by GARY REESE to Approve subject to conditions					
LOIS TARKAN	IAN, LARRY B	ROWN, OSC	Vote: 1; Excused: 0 AR B. GOODMAN, G ne); (Abstain-None); (I		· ·

Minutes:

BARLOW); (Excused-None)

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 138.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: September 5, 2007

ATTORNEY PAUL LARSEN, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and explained that there was confusion at the Planning Commission regarding the billboard not being painted, however, the report was for a different property. He showed a correct photograph depicting a billboard in good condition.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked the reason for denial. MARGO WHEELER, Director of Planning and Development Department, replied there were no building permits at the time it was assessed and it is within the required existing separation from residential property. With regards to waving the condition about providing a letter to the Building Department indicating the structure is sound, MS. WHEELER replied that the Building Department requires it regardless of whether or not the Council imposes the condition. ATTORNEY LARSEN was amenable to having the condition imposed.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

